



OPEN MEETING ITEM

MEMORANDUM

RECEIVED
AZ CORP COMMISSION

MAY 9 10 22 AM '97

DOCUMENT CONTROL

TO: THE COMMISSION

FROM: Utilities Division

DATE: May 8, 1997

RE: REQUIREMENTS OF SECTION 271 OF THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1996 (DOCKET NO. U-0000-97-238)

The Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 added Section 271 to the Communications Act of 1934. The purpose of Section 271 is to specify the conditions that must be met in order for the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) to allow a Bell Operating Company (BOC), such as U S WEST, to provide in-region interLATA services. The conditions described in Section 271 are intended to determine the extent to which local phone service is open to competition. The rationale being that it would be anti-competitive to allow a BOC to enter the competitive interLATA market while it still maintains an effective monopoly over local service.

Subsection (c)(2)(B) of Section 271 sets forth a fourteen point competitive checklist which specifies the access and interconnection a BOC must provide to other telecommunications carriers in order to satisfy the requirements of Section 271. Subsection (d)(2)(B) requires the FCC to consult with state commissions with respect to the BOCs compliance with the competitive checklist. Also, subsection (d)(2)(A) requires the FCC to consult with the United States Department of Justice.

Once the FCC receives a BOC's application for authority to provide in-region interLATA services, it has only 90 days to issue a written determination approving or denying that application. The FCC has indicated that once public notice is made of a BOC's application, the relevant state commission will have only (approximately) 20 days to file any written consultations with the FCC.

Staff believes that, given the short time horizon once the application is filed, it is necessary to begin preparing for U S WEST's application under Section 271 now. Staff believes that the best way to prepare for U S WEST's application is to create a public record designed to analyze U S WEST's compliance with the provisions of Section 271.

All interested parties are encouraged to submit their position, and the information that supports their position, to the ACC. Staff has determined the information which it feels will be necessary to evaluate whether U S WEST meets the requirements of Section 271 (Attachments A and B). Interested parties should use this information as a guide for their submissions.

Attachments A and B to this memorandum identify the information that Staff believes to be necessary for evaluating compliance with Section 271. Attachment A identifies information

THE COMMISSION

May 8, 1997

Page 2

that describes the general market conditions, the extent of existing competition, and the potential for its development. Staff believes this information will be useful in evaluating conflicting arguments concerning implementation of the competitive checklist, and in evaluating whether approval of an application would be in the public interest. Attachment B identifies information that is specific to the FCC's fourteen-point checklist.

In order to expedite the review of this information, Staff recommends that U S WEST file information related to a given checklist item as soon as it believes that it has satisfied the requirements of the item in Arizona. Interested parties may then respond. Interested parties are not limited to responding to U S WEST. At any time, parties may file information related to specific checklist items or general market conditions.

Staff recommends the following time line.

1. Within 21 days of this order parties should file a notice of interest in this docket. Parties that have already filed interventions or similar pleading will be considered to have satisfied this requirement.
2. When U S WEST believes that it has satisfied a particular competitive checklist item, it should file with the ACC. Five business days prior to the filing U S WEST should serve a notice of intent to file with each of the interested parties.
3. U S WEST should file the information following established ACC procedures. It should serve the filing on all parties who have filed a notice of interest. If U S WEST (or any other party) believes that the necessary information is confidential, it will complete the necessary protective arrangements prior to filing the information.
4. Interested parties will have 14 business days to file replies or comments related to U S WEST's filings. Again, these filings should follow established ACC procedures and should be served on U S WEST and all other interested parties.
5. All parties may file information related to general telecommunications market conditions in Arizona at any time in this docket. However, U S WEST is encouraged to provide such information no later than 45 days before its FCC filing.

THE COMMISSION

May 8, 1997

Page 3

Finally, U S WEST is encouraged to consult with Staff on matters related to content, timing, and protection of any information they intend to file in this docket prior to any filings.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Carl W. Dabelstein', with a stylized, cursive script.

Carl W. Dabelstein

Director

Utilities Division

CWD:MR:lh\DRS

ORIGINATOR: Matt Rowell

MEMORANDUM

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

MAY 09 1997

RECEIVED
AZ CORP COMMISSION

MAY 9 10 22 AM '97

DOCUMENT CONTROL

TO: THE COMMISSION

FROM: Utilities Division

DATE: May 8, 1997

DOCKETED BY

[Signature]

RE: REQUIREMENTS OF SECTION 271 OF THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1996 (DOCKET NO. U-0000-97-238)

The Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 added Section 271 to the Communications Act of 1934. The purpose of Section 271 is to specify the conditions that must be met in order for the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) to allow a Bell Operating Company (BOC), such as U S WEST, to provide in-region interLATA services. The conditions described in Section 271 are intended to determine the extent to which local phone service is open to competition. The rationale being that it would be anti-competitive to allow a BOC to enter the competitive interLATA market while it still maintains an effective monopoly over local service.

Subsection (c)(2)(B) of Section 271 sets forth a fourteen point competitive checklist which specifies the access and interconnection a BOC must provide to other telecommunications carriers in order to satisfy the requirements of Section 271. Subsection (d)(2)(B) requires the FCC to consult with state commissions with respect to the BOCs compliance with the competitive checklist. Also, subsection (d)(2)(A) requires the FCC to consult with the United States Department of Justice.

Once the FCC receives a BOC's application for authority to provide in-region interLATA services, it has only 90 days to issue a written determination approving or denying that application. The FCC has indicated that once public notice is made of a BOC's application, the relevant state commission will have only (approximately) 20 days to file any written consultations with the FCC.

Staff believes that, given the short time horizon once the application is filed, it is necessary to begin preparing for U S WEST's application under Section 271 now. Staff believes that the best way to prepare for U S WEST's application is to create a public record designed to analyze U S WEST's compliance with the provisions of Section 271.

All interested parties are encouraged to submit their position, and the information that supports their position, to the ACC. Staff has determined the information which it feels will be necessary to evaluate whether U S WEST meets the requirements of Section 271 (Attachments A and B). Interested parties should use this information as a guide for their submissions.

Attachments A and B to this memorandum identify the information that Staff believes to be necessary for evaluating compliance with Section 271. Attachment A identifies information

THE COMMISSION

May 8, 1997

Page 2

that describes the general market conditions, the extent of existing competition, and the potential for its development. Staff believes this information will be useful in evaluating conflicting arguments concerning implementation of the competitive checklist, and in evaluating whether approval of an application would be in the public interest. Attachment B identifies information that is specific to the FCC's fourteen-point checklist.

In order to expedite the review of this information, Staff recommends that U S WEST file information related to a given checklist item as soon as it believes that it has satisfied the requirements of the item in Arizona. Interested parties may then respond. Interested parties are not limited to responding to U S WEST. At any time, parties may file information related to specific checklist items or general market conditions.

Staff recommends the following time line.

1. Within 21 days of this order parties should file a notice of interest in this docket. Parties that have already filed interventions or similar pleading will be considered to have satisfied this requirement.
2. When U S WEST believes that it has satisfied a particular competitive checklist item, it should file with the ACC. Five business days prior to the filing U S WEST should serve a notice of intent to file with each of the interested parties.
3. U S WEST should file the information following established ACC procedures. It should serve the filing on all parties who have filed a notice of interest. If U S WEST (or any other party) believes that the necessary information is confidential, it will complete the necessary protective arrangements prior to filing the information.
4. Interested parties will have 14 business days to file replies or comments related to U S WEST's filings. Again, these filings should follow established ACC procedures and should be served on U S WEST and all other interested parties.
5. All parties may file information related to general telecommunications market conditions in Arizona at any time in this docket. However, U S WEST is encouraged to provide such information no later than 45 days before its FCC filing.

THE COMMISSION

May 8, 1997

Page 3

Finally, U S WEST is encouraged to consult with Staff on matters related to content, timing, and protection of any information they intend to file in this docket prior to any filings.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Carl W. Dabelstein". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal stroke extending from the end.

Carl W. Dabelstein
Director
Utilities Division

CWD:MR:lh\DRS

ORIGINATOR: Matt Rowell

ATTACHMENT A

INDICATORS OF GENERAL TELECOMMUNICATIONS MARKET CONDITIONS IN ARIZONA

- 1) Status of State Commission proceedings to implement the local competition provisions of the 1996 Act.
- 2) Identity of the entities that have been certified by the state to provide:
 - a) facilities based local exchange service.
 - b) resold local exchange service.
 - c) exchange access service.
- 3) Whether the entities in 2 are providing business exchange service, residential exchange service, business exchange access service, or residential exchange access service (identifying special or switched access). If the competitor is not providing any of these services does it plan to? If so, whom?
- 4) The identity of the entities that have requested
 - a) interconnection from U S WEST
 - b) unbundled elements from U S WEST
 - d) the ability to resell U S WEST's services.

The date the requests were made and the extent to which U S WEST and the requesting entity have entered into binding agreements, as well as copies of any such agreements.

- 5)
 - a) The number of access lines in the state that are served by U S WEST's competitors.
 - b) The number and location of U S WEST's switches that are connected to loops served by competitors.
 - c) The scope of the geographic areas for which the competitors services are available.
 - d) The number and types of customers for which the competitors services are available.
 - e) The extent to which each competitor is using its own facilities to provide service or is using unbundled or resold services obtained from U S WEST.
 - f) A description of the competitors facilities in operation in U S WEST's service area.
 - g) Whether the competitor is currently expanding its facilities and when the expansion is expected to be completed.

- h) The average provisioning intervals and maintenance times for services U S WEST provides to competitors compared to those it provides to itself.
- 6)
 - a) The number of access lines U S WEST serves in the state.
 - b) The number, type, and location of U S WEST's switches in the state.
 - c) The number and types of customers, for which U S WEST's services are available.
 - d) The amount of revenues that U S WEST derived from the state in the most recent year broken down by basic residential service, basic business service, intraLATA toll, access charges, and other services.
- 7) Any reports, studies, or analyses available, and created within the past year, that contain data on market shares of U S WEST and local telephone service competitors, or compare volumes of traffic, revenues, or facilities of the BOC and local competitors. Also, any evaluation of the likely entry, success or rate of growth of competitors or potential competitors.
- 8) A description of all complaints made to U S WEST, to State Commissions, to the FCC, or other governmental authorities by other entities that have requested interconnection.

ATTACHMENT B

INFORMATION DIRECTLY RELEVANT TO THE COMPETITIVE CHECKLIST

- 1) Interconnection in accordance with the requirements of sections 251(c)(2) and 252(d)(1).
 - a) What points are available for interconnection with U S WEST?
 - b) Do these points include physical collocation, virtual collocation or an other form of collocation?
 - c) What is the pricing methodology used for interconnection?
 - d) What competitors have interconnected with U S WEST?
 - e) At what U S WEST switching equipment (central office, end office, tandem. etc.) have competitors interconnected and by what means for each office?
- 2) Nondiscriminatory access to network elements in accordance with the requirements of sections 251(c)(3) and 252(d)(1).
 - a) What network elements are offered by U S WEST?
 - b) What is the pricing methodology used for the elements?
 - c) What elements have been requested by entities seeking interconnection and access?
 - d) What is the record concerning U S WEST's responsiveness to such requests?
 - e) What elements have actually been sold to entities seeking interconnection and access?
 - f) What entities have requested elements?
 - g) What entities have actually purchased the elements?
 - h) What entities are actually providing service utilizing in part elements purchased from U S WEST?
- 3) Nondiscriminatory access to the poles, ducts, conduits, and rights-of-way owned or controlled by the Bell Operating Company, U S WEST, at just and reasonable rates in accordance with the requirements of Section 224.

- a) Do U S WEST and other providers have the same access to poles, ducts, and rights-of-way?
 - b) What price does U S WEST charge and what is the pricing methodology for access to poles, ducts, and rights-of-way?
 - c) Concerning operation in Arizona, does U S WEST believe that they have a different legal status concerning access to rights of way than a competitive provider? If so, what is the justification for any such difference?
- 4) Local loop transmission from the central office to the customer's premises, unbundled from local switching or other services.
- a) What network elements are offered by U S WEST?
 - b) What is the pricing methodology used for the elements?
 - c) What elements have been requested by entities seeking interconnection and access?
 - d) What is the record concerning U S WEST's responsiveness to such requests?
 - e) What elements have actually been sold to entities seeking interconnection and access?
 - f) What entities have requested elements?
 - g) What entities have actually purchased the elements?
 - h) What entities are actually providing service utilizing in part elements purchased from U S WEST?
- 5) Local transport from the trunk side of a wireline local exchange carrier switch unbundled from switching or other services.
- a) What network elements are offered by U S WEST?
 - b) What is the pricing methodology used for the elements?
 - c) What elements have been requested by entities seeking interconnection and access?
 - d) What is the record concerning U S WEST's responsiveness to such requests?

- e) What elements have actually been sold to entities seeking interconnection and access?
 - f) What entities have requested elements?
 - g) What entities have actually purchased the elements?
 - h) What entities are actually providing service utilizing in part elements purchased from U S WEST?
- 6) Local switching unbundled from transport, local loop transmission, or other services.
- a) What network elements are offered by U S WEST?
 - b) What is the pricing methodology used for the elements?
 - c) What elements have been requested by entities seeking interconnection and access?
 - d) What is the record concerning U S WEST's responsiveness to such requests?
 - e) What elements have actually been sold to entities seeking interconnection and access?
 - f) What entities have requested elements?
 - g) What entities have actually purchased the elements?
 - h) What entities are actually providing service utilizing in part elements purchased from U S WEST?
- 7) Nondiscriminatory access to, 911 and E911 services, directory assistance services to allow the other carrier's customers to obtain telephone numbers, and operator call completion services.
- (I) 911 and E911 services.
- a) Does U S WEST offer 911 or E911 services to new customers/providers?
 - b) What entities have requested to purchase 911 and/or E911 services from U S WEST?
 - c) What entities have purchased 911 and/or E911 services from U S WEST?
 - d) What are the prices and pricing methodology for 911 and E911 services?

(II) Directory assistance services.

- a) What entities have requested to purchase directory assistance services from U S WEST?
- b) What entities have purchased directory assistance services from U S WEST?
- c) What are the prices and pricing methodology for directory assistance services?

(III) Operator Services

- a) What entities have requested to purchase operator call completion services from U S WEST?
- b) What entities have purchased operator call completion services from U S WEST?
- c) What are the prices and pricing methodology for operator call completion services?

8) White pages directory listings for customers of the other carrier's telephone exchange service.

- a) What entities have requested to include their customers in the listings of U S WEST.
- b) What entities have their customers included in the listings of U S WEST.
- c) What entities have chosen not to utilize inclusion of their customers in U S WEST's white pages listings.

9) Until the date by which telecommunications numbering administration guidelines, plan, or rules are established, nondiscriminatory access to telephone numbers for assignment to the other carrier's telephone exchange service customers. After that date, compliance with such guidelines, plan, or rules.

- a) Who is the number administrator for Arizona?
- b) If U S WEST is the number administrator for Arizona, is there a date certain by which it will no longer perform that function?

10) Nondiscriminatory access to databases and associated signaling necessary for call routing and completion.

- a) What entities have requested to purchase such database and signaling services from U S WEST?
 - b) What entities have purchased such database and signaling services from U S WEST?
 - c) What are the prices and pricing methodology for such database and signaling services?
- 11) Until the date by which the Commission issues regulations pursuant to Section 251 to require number portability, interim telecommunications number portability through remote call forwarding, direct inward dialing trunks, or other comparable arrangements, with as little impairment of functioning, quality, reliability, and convenience as possible. After that date, full compliance with such regulations.
- a) Is number portability being provided on an interim or full basis?
 - b) If it is on an interim basis, what are the characteristics of the interim system and when will full number portability be implemented?
 - c) Is U S WEST providing carrier, geographic, or service number portability or any combination of the three?
 - d) What is the pricing methodology used to determine charges for number portability?
- 12) Nondiscriminatory access to such services or information as are necessary to allow the requesting carrier to implement local dialing parity in accordance with the requirements of Section 251(b)(3).
- a) Is U S WEST providing dialing parity for both local and intraLATA toll service?
 - b) If not, is U S WEST capable of providing such parity and will it provide it prior to the time when it offers interLATA service or at the time that it offers interLATA service?
 - c) Does U S WEST have any ACC, state court, federal court, FCC, or legislative action pending related to the provision of intraLATA and local dialing parity?
 - d) To what percentage of its customers will U S West provide intraLATA dialing parity prior to being released from its in region interLATA restrictions?
- 13) Reciprocal compensation arrangements in accordance with the requirements of Section 252(d)(2).

- a) What reciprocal compensation arrangements does U S WEST have in Arizona with competing carriers?
 - b) What reciprocal compensation arrangements does U S WEST have in Arizona with other incumbent carriers?
 - c) Where interconnection is in place, how does traffic terminated on other networks (competitors and other incumbents) compare with traffic terminated on U S WEST's network? This can be expressed as percentages, number of specific calls, minutes of use, or other measure.
- 14) Telecommunications services are available for resale in accordance with the requirements of Sections 251(c)(4) and 252(d)(3).
- a) Have all of the services offered by U S West been made available for resale on the same terms previously offered?
 - b) If not, which services have been withdrawn or changed in terms with respect to resale?
 - c) What are the percentage discounts offered for resold services?
 - i) The specific tariffed resale rates.
 - ii) Negotiated rates by specific contract.
 - d) What, if any, limitations does U S WEST impose on the resale of its services?
 - e) Are there currently any formal disputes related to the pricing of services for resale?
 - f) Are there currently any formal disputes related to the services or the definition of services for resale?
 - g) Have any entities requested to purchase services from U S WEST at specific tariffed rates (not including negotiated agreements)?
 - h) Are any entities currently purchasing services from U S WEST at specific tariffed rates (not including negotiated agreements)?
 - i) Are any negotiations pending for the purchase of services for resale?
 - j) Are any entities currently purchasing services from U S WEST pursuant to a negotiated agreement?
 - k) How much revenue does the resale of services generate for U S WEST?

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

CARL J. KUNASEK
CHAIRMAN
JIM IRVIN
COMMISSIONER
RENZ D. JENNINGS
COMMISSIONER

IN THE MATTER OF U S WEST COMMUNICATIONS, INC.'S COMPLIANCE WITH § 271 OF THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1996.)))))	DOCKET NO. U-0000-97-238 DECISION NO. _____ ORDER
---	-----------------------	--

Open Meeting
May 14, 1997
Phoenix, Arizona

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 added section 271 to the Communications Act of 1934. The purpose of section 271 is to specify the conditions that must be met in order for the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") to allow a Bell operating company ("BOC"), such as U S West Communications, Inc. ("U S West"), to provide in-region interLATA services. The conditions described in section 271 are intended to determine the extent to which local phone service is open to competition.

2. Section 271 (c)(2)(B) sets forth a fourteen point competitive checklist which specifies the access and interconnection a BOC must provide to other telecommunications carriers in order to satisfy the requirements of section 271. Section 271 (d)(2)(B) requires the FCC to consult with state commissions with respect to the BOCs compliance with the competitive checklist. Also, subsection (d)(2)(A) requires the FCC to consult with the United States Department of Justice.

3. Once the FCC receives a BOC's application for authority to provide in-region interLATA services, the FCC has 90 days to issue a written determination approving or denying that application. The FCC has indicated that once public notice is ...

1 made of a BOC's application, the relevant state commission must file its written comments
2 within 20 days.

3 4. Given the abbreviated time frame for the Arizona Corporation
4 Commission ("ACC" or "Commission") to consult with the FCC once the application is filed,
5 it is necessary to begin preparing for U S West's application under section 271
6 immediately.

7 The ACC believes that the best way to prepare for U S West's application is
8 to create a public record designed to analyze U S West's compliance with the provisions
9 of section 271. Therefore, the ACC will open a docket and take comments from all
10 interested parties.

11 5. All interested parties are encouraged to file their written position, and
12 the information that supports their position in the docket. Staff has determined the
13 information which it believes will be necessary to evaluate and make a recommendation
14 on whether U S WEST meets the requirements of section 271 (Attachments A and B to this
15 Order identify the information that Staff believes to be necessary for evaluating compliance
16 with section 271. Attachment A identifies information that describes the general market
17 conditions, the extent of existing competition, and the potential for its development.
18 Attachment B identifies information that is specific to the FCC's fourteen point checklist).
19 Interested parties should use this information as a guide for their submissions.

20 6. To expedite the review of this information and accelerate the
21 introduction of full telecommunication competition in Arizona, U S West must file
22 information related to a checklist item as soon as it believes that it has satisfied the
23 requirements of the specific item. Interested parties may then respond. Interested parties
24 are not limited to responding to U S West. At any time, parties may file information related
25 to specific checklist items or general market conditions.

26 The Commission believes the following time line is appropriate:

27 ...

28 ...

1. Within 21 days of this order, parties should file a notice of interest in this docket. Parties that have already filed interventions or similar pleading will be considered to have satisfied this requirement.
2. When U S West believes that it has satisfied a particular competitive checklist item, it should file with the ACC. Five business days prior to the filing, U S West should serve a notice of intent to file with each of the interested parties.
3. U S West should file the information following established ACC procedures. It should serve the filing on all parties who have filed a notice of interest. If U S West (or any other party) believes that the necessary information is confidential, it will complete the necessary protective arrangements prior to filing the information.
4. Interested parties will have 14 business days to file replies or comments related to U S West's filings. Again, these filings should follow established ACC procedures and should be served on U S West and all other interested parties.
5. All parties may file information related to general telecommunications market conditions in Arizona at any time in this docket. However, U S West is encouraged to provide such information no later than 45 days before its FCC filing.

U S West is encouraged to consult with Staff on matters related to content, timing, and protection of any information they intend to file in this docket prior to any filings.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. The Commission has the authority to open a proposed rulemaking pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 40-202, -203, -204, -250, -321, -322, -328, -329, -331, -332, -361, -365, and -367, and under the Arizona Constitution, Art. 15, sections 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7 and 9.

ORDER

THEREFORE IT IS ORDERED that the Commission Staff shall open a docket for the evaluation of U S West's compliance with § 271 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

...

...

...

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the evaluation of U S West's compliance
2 with § 271 will be conducted according to the procedures described in this Order.
3

4 **BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION**
5

6
7 CHAIRMAN

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

8 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, GEOFFREY E. GONSHER,
9 Executive Secretary of the Arizona Corporation Commission,
10 have hereunto, set my hand and caused the official seal of this
11 Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix.
12 this ____ day of _____, 1997

13
14 _____
15 GEOFFREY E. GONSHER
16 Executive Secretary

17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
DISSENT _____

SERVICE LIST FOR: In the Matter of U S WEST Communications, Inc.'s
Compliance With § 271 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996
DOCKET NO.: R-0000-97-238

TIMOTHY J. BERG
FENNEMORE CRAIG
TWO N. CENTRAL AVENUE, #2200
PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85018

RUSSELL P. ROWE
U S WEST COMMUNICATIONS, INC.
1801 CALIFORNIA STREET, STE. 5100
DENVER, COLORADO 80802

SUSANNE MASON
U S WEST COMMUNICATIONS, INC.
3030 N. 3RD STREET, ROOM 1010
PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85012

LINDY FUNKHOUSER, CHIEF COUNSEL
LEGAL DIVISION
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
1200 WEST WASHINGTON STREET
PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007

CARL DABELSTEIN, DIRECTOR
UTILITIES DIVISION
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
1200 WEST WASHINGTON
PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007

With copies to:

ROD L. JORDAN
CITIZENS UTILITIES COMPANY
1035 PLACER STREET
REDDING, CALIFORNIA 96049-6020

MR PATRICK QUINN
U S WEST COMMUNICATIONS INC
3033 NORTH 3RD STREET - ROOM 1004
PHOENIX AZ 85018

BETH ANN BURNS
CITIZENS UTILITIES COMPANY
2901 N. CENTRAL AVENUE, SUITE 1660
PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85012

MR W LES JOHNSON
AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF
THE MOUNTAIN STATES
2800 NORTH CENTRAL AVENUE
PHOENIX AZ 85004

MR JOHN KELLY
EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT TO THE GOVERNOR
OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR
1700 WEST WASHINGTON STREET
PHOENIX AZ 85007

MR RICHARD SILVERMAN
GENERAL MANAGER
SALT RIVER PROJECT
P O BOX 52025
PHOENIX AZ 85072-2025

1 MR RAYMOND HEYMAN
 ROSHKA HEYMAN & DEWULF
 2 400 NORTH 5TH STREET SUITE 1000
 PHOENIX AZ 85004

3 MR BRUCE MEYERSON
 4 STEPTOE & JOHNSON
 40 NORTH CENTRAL AVENUE, 24TH FLOOR
 5 PHOENIX AZ 85004

6 MR TOM MUMAW
 SNELL & WILMER
 7 ONE ARIZONA CENTER
 400 WEST VAN BUREN
 8 PHOENIX AZ 85004

9 MR T LARRY BARNES
 LEGAL COUNSEL
 10 AT&T COMMUNICATIONS INC
 1875 LAWRENCE STREET - SUITE 1575
 11 DENVER CO 80202

12 MS SUSAN MCADAMS
 ELECTRIC LIGHTWAVE
 13 P O BOX 4678
 VANCOUVER WA 98662

14 MR MICHAEL A MORRIS
 15 REGIONAL DIRECTOR
 REGULATORY & EXTERNAL AFFAIRS
 16 TCG TELEPORT COMMUNICATIONS GROUP
 ONE BUSH STREET - SUITE 510
 17 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94104-4406

18 MR MICHAEL BOYD
 VICE PRESIDENT EXTERNAL AFFAIRS
 19 TELEPORT DENVER LTD
 EXECUTIVE OFFICES
 20 1050 SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 1610
 DENVER CO 80265

21 MR FRANK CROAN
 22 MANAGER - NEW BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT
 TIMES MIRROR CABLE TELEVISION INC
 23 115 NORTH 15ST AVENUE
 PHOENIX AZ 85043

24 MR MICHAEL GRANT
 25 WINSTON & STRAWN
 2300 GREAT AMERICAN TOWER
 26 3200 NORTH CENTRAL AVENUE
 PHOENIX AZ 85012

27

28

MS JUDITH A D HOLCOMB
 U S WEST NEWVECTOR
 U S HWY 60 EAST OF MAGDALENA
 P O BOX 144
 MAGDALENA NM 87825

MS JOAN C HINSON
 TCA ARIZONA CHAPTER PRESIDENT
 TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION
 JOHN C LINCOLN HOSPITAL
 250 EAST DUNLAP
 PHOENIX AZ 85020

MR DON INNES
 DIRECTOR OF ACCESS
 SERVICES AND REVENUE
 CITIZENS UTILITIES COMPANY
 1035 PLACER STREET
 P O BOX 496020
 REDDING CA 96049-6020

MR ROLLIE NEHRING
 ARIZONA TELEPHONE COMPANY
 5253 NORTH DROMEDARY ROAD
 PHOENIX AZ 85018

MS ELLEN CORKHILL
 COORDINATOR
 AARP
 5606 NORTH 17TH STREET
 PHOENIX AZ 85016

MR JOHN D FRANCIS - GENERAL MANAGER
 VALLEY TELEPHONE COOPERATIVE INC
 P O BOX 699
 752 EAST MALEY
 WILLCOX AZ 85643-1304

MR KENNETH F MELLEY JR
 U S LONG DISTANCE INC
 9311 SAN PEDRO - SUITE 300
 SAN ANTONIO TX 78216

MS JEAN L KIDD00 ESQ
 SWIDLER & BERLIN CHARTERED
 3000 K STREET NW - SUITE 300
 WASHINGTON DC 20007-3841

MR BOB WHIPPLE
 STENOCALL
 1515 AVENUE J
 P O BOX 10127
 LUBBOCK TX 79408

1	MR PATRICK A MALLOY	MR FRANK HATZENBUEHLER
2	CITIZENS UTILITIES COMPANY	U S WEST COMMUNICATIONS INC
3	P O BOX 496020	1801 CALIFORNIA STREET #5200
4	REDDING CA 96049-6020	DENVER CO 80202
5	MR DAVID L FOLSOM	MR LEX SMITH ATTORNEY
6	MANAGER STATE REGULATORY AFFAIRS	BROWN & BAIN PA
7	ARIZONA TELEPHONE COMPANY	2901 NORTH CENTRAL AVENUE
8	1255 SACRAMENTO STREET	PHOENIX AZ 85012
9	REDDING CA 96001	
10	MR MIKE SCHULTIES	MR JIM ROOF
11	STAFF MANAGER - REGULATORY	U S WEST COMMUNICATIONS
12	ALLTEL SERVICE CORP	3033 N 3RD STREET ROOM 1010
13	1 ALLIED DRIVE	PHOENIX AZ 85012
14	LITTLE ROCK AR 72202	
15	MR RICK MCALLISTER	MR FELIX WILLIAMSON
16	MANAGER REGULATORY	U S WEST COMMUNICATIONS INC
17	ALLTEL NAVAJO COMMUNICATION COMPANY	3033 NORTH 3RD STREET ROOM #1010
18	2121 N CALIFORNIA - #400	PHOENIX AZ 85012
19	WALNUT CREEK CA 94596	
20	MR STEVE WHEELER - ATTORNEY	MR JOE HANLEY MANAGER
21	SNELL & WILMER	ARIZONA TELEPHONE COMPANY
22	ONE ARIZONA CENTER	2236 WEST SHANGRI-LA ROAD
23	400 EAST VAN BUREN STREET	PHOENIX AZ 85029
24	PHOENIX AZ 85004-0001	
25	MS JANINE D BURKE	DON LOW
26	SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY	SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY LP
27	8140 WARD PARKWAY - #5E	8140 WARD PARKWAY 5E
28	KANSAS CITY MO 64114	KANSAS CITY MO 64114
29	MR MARK BROWN	MR SCOTT RAFFERTY
30	REGULATORY ATTORNEY	C/O AREIE GROUP
31	MCI TELECOMMUNICATIONS CORP	4730 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE
32	201 SPEAR STREET - 9TH FLOOR	WASHINGTON DC 20016
33	SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105	
34	MR TOM CAMPBELL - ATTORNEY	MR JAMAL ALLEN ATTORNEY
35	MCI TELECOMMUNICATIONS CORP	O'CONNOR CAVANAUGH ANDERSON
36	LEWIS AND ROCA	WESTOVER & BESHEARS
37	40 NORTH CENTRAL AVENUE	ONE EAST CAMELBACK - SUITE 1100
38	PHOENIX AZ 85004-4429	PHOENIX AZ 85012
39	MS JENNIFER S POMEROY - DIRECTOR	MR TONY DITIRRO
40	BUSINESS/GOVERNMENT RELATIONS	MCI COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION
41	U S WEST CELLULAR	201 SPEAR STREET 9TH FLOOR
42	3350 161ST AVENUE SE	SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105
43	P O BOX 96087	
44	BELLEVUE WA 98009	MR JOHN COLEMAN
45		ELECTRIC LIGHTWAVE
46		2600 NORTH CENTRAL AVENUE #300
47		PHOENIX AZ 85004

1 MS JODIE CARO
MFS COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY INC
2 999 OAKMONT PLAZA DR - APT 400
WESTMONT IL 60559-5516
3
4 MR JOHN O LAUE
COMMUNICATIONS ENGINEERING SUPERVISOR
CITY OF TEMPE
5 MANAGEMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT
132 EAST 6TH STREET SUITE B109
6 TEMPE AZ 85280
7
8 MR C K CHIP CASTEEL JR
DIRECTOR OF STATE REGULATORY
AND GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS
MCI TELECOMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION
9 1801 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW
WASHINGTON DC 20006
10
11 MR AL CRAWFORD
CHAIRMAN GOVERNOR'S
TELECOMMUNICATIONS
12 STUDY COMMITTEE
8736 NORTH 68TH STREET
13 PARADISE VALLEY AZ 85253
14
15 MR JOE HOMMEL
ELECTRIC LIGHTWAVE
8100 N E PARKWAY DRIVE SUITE 200
VANCOUVER WA 98662
16
17 MR FRED M SHEPHERD NCE
TELEPHONE DIVISION MANAGER
TOHONO O'ODHAM UTILITY AUTHORITY
18 P O BOX 816
SELLS AZ 85634
19
20 MR DAREL ESCHBACH
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES
21 ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY
BOX 870201
22 TEMPE AZ 85287-0201
23
24 MR JERRY JAMES
VICE PRESIDENT GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS
LDDS METROMEDIA
8303 MOPAC SUITE 146-C
25 AUSTIN TX 78759
26
27
28

MR JIM BROSHAR
EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT
ROCKY MOUNTAIN TELECOM ASSOCIATION
10105 EAST VIA LINDA SUITE 103-340
SCOTTSDALE AZ 85258

MR TIM DELANEY
~~BROWN & BAIN PA~~
2901 NORTH CENTRAL
P O BOX 400
PHOENIX AZ 85001-0400

MR PAUL SCHNEIDER
ARIZONA BUSINESS GAZETTE
P O BOX 1950
PHOENIX AZ 85001

MR HARRY HEINTZ
AMERICAN EXPRESS
19640 NORTH 31ST AVENUE
PHOENIX AZ 85027

MR JEFFREY WEIR
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
SOUTHERN GILA COUNTY
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
P O BOX 1351
GLOBE AZ 85502

MS SUE WILLIAMS
DIRECTOR REGULATORY AFFAIRS
TELTRUST COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES INC
221 NORTH CHARLES LINDBERGH DRIVE
SALT LAKE CITY UT 84116

MR MIKE LAUGHLIN
DIRECTOR OF OPERATIONS
NORSTAN COMMUNICATIONS
6900 WEDGEWOOD ROAD
MAPLE GROVE MN 55311

MS VICTORIA CHAMPA
NATIONAL SALES MANAGER
INTER-TEL NETSOLUTIONS
4909 EAST MCDOWELL ROAD, SUITE 109
PHOENIX AZ 85008-4293

MR IVAN JOHNSON
VICE PRESIDENT OF PUBLIC AFFAIRS
TIMES MIRROR CABLE TELEVISION
17602 NORTH BLACK CANYON HIGHWAY
PHOENIX AZ 85023

- 1 MR RANDY YOUNG
GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTS MANAGER
2 10300 NORTH 6TH AVENUE N
PLYMOUTH MN 55441
3
- 4 MS JANIS A STAHLHUT
VICE PRESIDENT REGULATORY AFFAIRS
TIMEWARNER COMMUNICATIONS
5 300 FIRST STAMFORD PLACE
STAMFORD CT 06902-6732
6
- 7 MS CINDY Z SCHONHAUT
VICE PRESIDENT GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS
MFS COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY INC
8 GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS OFFICE
3000 K STREET NW SUITE 300
9 WASHINGTON DC 20007
- 10 JIM WORTHAM
ADMINISTRATOR
11 FIRE DEPARTMENT COMPUTER SERVICES
CITY OF PHOENIX
12 620 WEST WASHINGTON STREET
PHOENIX AZ 85003
13
- 14 CATHERINE A NICHOLS
TEP - LEGAL DEPARTMENT
220 WEST SIXTH STREET
15 P O BOX 711
TUCSON AZ 85702
16
- 17 TERRY TRAPP, PRESIDENT
U S COMMUNICATIONS UNLIMITED, INC
274 SNYDER MOUNTAIN ROAD
18 EVERGREEN CO 80439
- 19 JESSE W SEARS
ASSISTANT CHIEF COUNSEL
20 CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
CITY OF PHOENIX
21 200 WEST WASHINGTON, 13TH FLOOR
PHOENIX AZ 85003-1611
22
- 23 JOANNA HOLLAND
PUBLIC AFFAIRS DIRECTOR
PHOENIX CHAMBER OF COMMERCE
24 201 NORTH CENTRAL AVE., 27TH FLOOR
PHOENIX AZ 85073
25
- 26 JOANNE WALLIN
PACIFIC BELL
140 NEW MONTGOMERY STREET SUITE 1505
27 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105
28

Attachment A
General Telecommunications Market Conditions in Arizona

- 1) Status of state commission proceedings to implement the local competition provisions of the telecommunications Act of 1996 ("1996 Act").
- 2) Identity of the entities that have been certified by the state to provide:
 - a) facilities based local exchange service,
 - b) resold local exchange service,
 - c) exchange access service.
- 3) Whether the entities in 2 are providing business exchange service, residential exchange service, business exchange access service, or residential exchange access service (identifying special or switched access). If the competitor is not providing any of these services, does it plan to. When?
- 4) The identity of the entities that have requested:
 - a) interconnection from U S West,
 - b) unbundled elements from U S West,
 - c) the ability to resell U S West's services.

The date the requests were made and the extent to which U S West and the requesting entity have entered into binding agreements, as well as copies of any such agreements.

U S West Competitors:

- 5)
 - a) The number of access lines in Arizona that are served by U S West's competitors.
 - b) The number and location of U S West's switches that are connected to loops served by competitors.
 - c) The scope of the geographic areas for which the competitors' services are available.
 - d) The number and types of customers for which the competitors' services are available.
 - e) The extent to which each competitor is using its own facilities to provide service or is using unbundled or resold services obtained from U S West.
 - f) A description of the competitors' facilities in operation in U S West's service area.
 - g) Whether the competitor is currently expanding its facilities and when the expansion is expected to be completed.

- 1 h) The average provisioning intervals and maintenance times for services U S
2 West provides to competitors compared to those it provides to itself.

3 **U S West:**

- 4 6) a) The number of access lines U S West serves in Arizona.
5 b) The number, type, and location of U S West's switches in Arizona.
6 c) The number and types of customers for which U S West's services are
7 available.
8 d) The amount of revenues that U S West derived from Arizona in the most
9 recent year, broken down by basic residential service, basic business
10 service, intraLATA toll, access charges, and other services.
11 7) Any reports, studies, or analyses available, and created within the past year, that
12 contain data on market shares of U S West and local telephone service competitors,
13 or compare volumes of traffic, revenues, or facilities of the BOC and local
14 competitors. Also, any evaluation of the likely entry, success or rate of growth of
15 competitors or potential competitors.
16 8) A description of all complaints made to U S West, to the Arizona Corporation
17 Commission, to the FCC, or other governmental authorities by other entities that
18 have requested interconnection.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Attachment B
Information Directly Relevant to the Competitive Checklist

- 1) Interconnection in accordance with the requirements of sections 251(c)(2) and 252(d)(1).
 - a) What points are available for interconnection with U S West?
 - b) Do these points include physical collocation, virtual collocation or another form of collocation?
 - c) What is the pricing methodology used for interconnection?
 - d) What competitors have interconnected with U S West?
 - e) At what U S West switching equipment (central office, end office, tandem, etc.) have competitors interconnected and by what means for each office?
- 2) Nondiscriminatory access to network elements in accordance with the requirements of sections 251(c)(3) and 252(d)(1).
 - a) What network elements are offered by U S West?
 - b) What is the pricing methodology is used for the elements?
 - c) What elements have been requested by entities seeking interconnection and access?
 - d) What is the record concerning U S West's responsiveness to such requests?
 - e) What elements have actually been sold to entities seeking interconnection and access?
 - f) What entities have requested elements?
 - g) What entities have actually purchased the elements?
 - h) What entities are actually providing service utilizing, in part, elements purchased from U S West?
- 3) Nondiscriminatory access to the poles, ducts, conduits, and rights-of-way owned or controlled by the Bell operating company at just and reasonable rates in accordance with the requirements of section 224.
 - a) Do U S West and other providers have the same access to poles, ducts, and rights-of-way?
 - b) What price does U S West charge and what is the pricing methodology for access to poles, ducts, and rights-of-way?
 - c) Concerning operation in Arizona, does U S West believe that they have a different legal status concerning access to rights of way than a competitive provider? If so, what is the justification for any such difference?
- 4) Local loop transmission from the central office to the customer's premises, unbundled from local switching or other services.

- 1 a) What network elements are offered by U S West?
- 2 b) What is the pricing methodology used for the elements?
- 3 c) What elements have been requested by entities seeking interconnection and
- 4 access?
- 5 d) What is the record concerning U S West's responsiveness to such requests?
- 6 e) What elements have actually been sold to entities seeking interconnection
- 7 and access?
- 8 f) What entities have requested elements?
- 9 g) What entities have actually purchased the elements?
- 10 h) What entities are actually providing service utilizing, in part, elements
- 11 purchased from U S West?
- 12 5) Local transport from the trunk side of a wireline local exchange carrier switch
- 13 unbundled from switching or other services.
- 14 a) What network elements are offered by U S West?
- 15 b) What is the pricing methodology used for the elements?
- 16 c) What elements have been requested by entities seeking interconnection and
- 17 access?
- 18 d) What is the record concerning U S West's responsiveness to such requests?
- 19 e) What elements have actually been sold to entities seeking interconnection
- 20 and access?
- 21 f) What entities have requested elements?
- 22 g) What entities have actually purchased the elements?
- 23 h) What entities are actually providing service utilizing, in part, elements
- 24 purchased from U S West?
- 25 6) Local switching unbundled from transport, local loop transmission, or other services.
- 26 a) What network elements are offered by U S West?
- 27 b) What is the pricing methodology used for the elements?
- 28 c) What elements have been requested by entities seeking interconnection and
- access?
- d) What is the record concerning U S West's responsiveness to such requests?
- e) What elements have actually been sold to entities seeking interconnection
- and access?

- 1 f) What entities have requested elements?
- 2 g) What entities have actually purchased the elements?
- 3 h) What entities are actually providing service utilizing in part elements
- 4 purchased from U S West?
- 5 7) Nondiscriminatory access to, 911 and E911 services, directory assistance services
- 6 to allow the other carrier's customers to obtain telephone numbers, and operator
- 7 call completion services.
- 8 (I) 911 and E911 services.
- 9 a) Does U S West offer 911 or E911 services to new
- 10 customers/providers?
- 11 b) What entities have requested to purchase 911 and/or E911 services
- 12 from U S West?
- 13 c) What entities have purchased 911 and/or E911 services from U S
- 14 West?
- 15 d) What are the prices and pricing methodology for 911 and E911
- 16 services?
- 17 (II) Directory assistance services.
- 18 a) What entities have requested to purchase directory assistance
- 19 services from U S West?
- 20 b) What entities have purchased directory assistance services from U S
- 21 West?
- 22 c) What are the prices and pricing methodology for directory assistance
- 23 services?
- 24 (III) Operator Services.
- 25 a) What entities have requested to purchase operator call completion
- 26 services from U S West?
- 27 b) What entities have purchased operator call completion services from
- 28 U S West?
- c) What are the prices and pricing methodology for operator call
- completion services?
- 8) White pages directory listings for customers of the other carrier's telephone
- exchange service.
- a) What entities have requested to include their customers in the listings of U S
- West?
- b) What entities have their customers included in the listings of U S West?

- 1 c) What entities have chosen not to utilize inclusion of their customers in U S
2 West's white pages listings?
- 3 9) Until the date by which telecommunications numbering administration guidelines,
4 plan, or rules are established, nondiscriminatory access to telephone numbers for
5 assignment to the other carrier's telephone exchange service customers. After that
6 date, compliance with such guidelines, plan, or rules.
- 7 a) Who is the number administrator for Arizona?
- 8 b) If U S West is the number administrator for Arizona, is there a date certain
9 by which it will no longer perform that function?
- 10 10) Nondiscriminatory access to databases and associated signaling necessary for call
11 routing and completion.
- 12 a) What entities have requested to purchase such database and signaling
13 services from U S West?
- 14 b) What entities have purchased such database and signaling services from
15 U S West?
- 16 c) What are the prices and pricing methodology for such database and
17 signaling services?
- 18 11) Until the date by which the Commission issues regulations pursuant to section 251
19 to require number portability, interim telecommunications number portability through
20 remote call forwarding, direct inward dialing trunks, or other comparable
21 arrangements, with as little impairment of functioning, quality, reliability, and
22 convenience as possible. After that date, full compliance with such regulations.
- 23 a) Is number portability being provided on an interim or full compliance basis?
- 24 b) If it is on an interim basis, what are the characteristics of the interim system
25 and when will full number portability be implemented?
- 26 c) Is U S West providing carrier, geographic, or service number portability or
27 any combination of the three?
- 28 d) What is the pricing methodology used to determine charges for number
portability?
- 12) Nondiscriminatory access to such services or information as are necessary to allow
the requesting carrier to implement local dialing parity in accordance with the
requirements of section 251(b)(3).
- a) Is U S West providing dialing parity for both local and intraLATA toll service?
- b) If not, is U S West capable of providing such parity and will it provide it prior
to the time when it offers interLATA service or at the time that it offers
interLATA service?
- c) Does U S West have any ACC, state court, federal court, FCC, or legislative
action pending related to the provision of intraLATA and local dialing parity?
- d) To what percentage of its customers will U S West provide intraLATA dialing

- 1 parity, to prior to being released from its in-region interLATA restrictions?
- 2 13) Reciprocal compensation arrangements in accordance with the requirements of
3 section 252(d)(2).
4 a) What reciprocal compensation arrangements does U S West have in Arizona
5 with competing carriers?
6 b) What reciprocal compensation arrangements does U S West have in Arizona
7 with other incumbent carriers?
8 c) Where interconnection is in place, how does traffic terminated on other
9 networks (competitors and other incumbents) compare with traffic terminated
10 on U S West's network? This can be expressed as percentages, number of
11 specific calls, minutes of use, or other measure.
- 12 14) Telecommunications services are available for resale in accordance with the
13 requirements of sections 251(c)(4) and 252(d)(3).
14 a) Have all of the services offered by U S West been made available for resale
15 on the same terms previously offered?
16 b) If not, which services have been withdrawn or changed in terms with respect
17 to resale?
18 c) What are the percentage discounts offered for resold services?
19 i) The specific tariffed resale rates.
20 ii) Negotiated rates by specific contract.
21 d) What, if any, limitations does U S West impose on the resale of its services?
22 e) Are there currently any formal disputes related to the pricing of services for
23 resale?
24 f) Are there currently any formal disputes related to the services or the
25 definition of services for resale?
26 g) Have any entities requested to purchase services from U S West at specific
27 tariffed rates (not including negotiated agreements)?
28 h) Are any entities currently purchasing services from U S West at specific
29 tariffed rates (not including negotiated agreements)?
30 i) Are any negotiations pending for the purchase of services for resale?
31 j) Are any entities currently purchasing services from U S West pursuant to a
32 negotiated agreement?
33 k) How much revenue does the resale of services generate for U S West?

PROPOSED ORDER PREVIOUSLY FORWARDED ON

DOCKET NO. U-0000-97-238

NAME Telecommunications Act of 1996

TYPE OF CASE Generic STAFF: Krull

RECEIVED
AZ CORP COMMISSION

MAY 9 10 24 AM '97

DOCUMENT CONTROL

(-) UTILITIES SUBMITTED (10)

- () PRESS
- (/) OPEN MEETING FILE
- (/) 3 COMMISSIONERS
- (/) LEGAL
- (/) HEARING (2)
- (/) RUCC

() HEARING SUBMITTED FOR UTILITIES MATTERS (12 + EXTRA)

- () PRESS
- () OPEN MEETING FILE
- () 3 COMMISSIONERS
- () LEGAL
- () DABELSTEIN
- () RANDY SABLE
- () STAFF _____
- () ALICE + EXTRAS
- () RUCC

*See attached for
mailing list of (72)*

() SECURITIES SUBMITTED (17 + EXTRAS)

- () PRESS
- () OPEN MEETING FILE
- () 3 COMMISSIONERS
- () HEARING (2)
- () HARRIS
- () FOX
- () RODARTE
- () ATTORNEY GENERAL _____
- () STAFF _____
- () MEG + EXTRAS
- () SCHMIDT
- () SILVA
- () WEINROTH
- () JOHNSON

() HEARING SUBMITTED FOR SECURITIES MATTERS (16 + EXTRAS)

- () PRESS
- () OPEN MEETING FILE
- () 3 COMMISSIONERS
- () HARRIS
- () FOX
- () RODARTE
- () NEUBERT
- () ATTORNEY GENERAL _____
- () STAFF _____
- () MEG POLLARD + EXTRAS
- () SCHMIDT
- () SILVA
- () WEINROTH
- () JOHNSON